1	Judge Ricardo S. Martine:			
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
8	AT SEATTLE			
9	ANGEL RODRIGUEZ,	Case No. CV-11-00590 RSM		
10	Plaintiff,	DEEEND ANTIO ANOMED		
11	V.	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIM		
12	PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES,			
13	LLC,			
14	Defendant.			
15	Defendant Portfolio Recovery Associ	ates IIC ("PPA") answers plaintiff's		
16	•	ates, LEO ( 1 TVA ) answers plaintin s		
17	complaint as follows:	HETION		
18		UCTION		
19		orts to be based on the Fair Debt Collection		
20	Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"), but denies any liability under or			
21	violation of the FDCPA.			
22	<ol><li>Admits that the court generally</li></ol>	has subject matter jurisdiction over FDCPA		
23	claims, but denies the remaining allegations	in paragraph 2.		
24	3. Admits that it is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction, but denies the			
25	remaining allegations in paragraph 3.			
26				

4.	PRA lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the
allegations i	in paragraph 4 and, therefore, denies those allegations.
	PARTIES
5.	PRA lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the
allegations i	in paragraph 5 and, therefore, denies those allegations.
6.	Admits that, when it engages in certain activities, it may fit the definition of
"debt collector" under 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6). PRA denies the remaining allegations in	
paragraph 6.	
7.	Admits that it is a Delaware LLC with headquarters in Norfolk, Virginia.
8.	Admits that it generally acts through its authorized agents and employees,
but denies t	he remaining allegations in paragraph 8.
	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
9.	Admits that it uses the telephone number 412-282-1420 to place calls, but
denies that	it attempted to contact plaintiff for any reason. PRA further denies the
remaining allegations in paragraph 9.	
10.	Admits that, in December 2010, it dialed a telephone number in the State
of Washington seeking to reach a non-party to this action whose first name is Tracy;	
and that, in	doing so, it may have reached plaintiff. PRA denies the remaining
allegations i	in paragraph 10.
11.	Denies the allegations in paragraph 11.
12.	Denies the allegations in paragraph 12.
	COUNT I
	FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT
13.	Denies the allegations in paragraph 13, including subparagraphs a. and b.
14.	Denies that plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought in paragraph 14.
15.	Denies that plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought in paragraph 15.
	5. allegations 6. "debt collect paragraph 6. 7. 8. but denies that remaining a 10. of Washingt and that, in allegations 11. 12.

Denies that plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought in paragraph 16.

1

16.

2	17.	Except as specifically admitted, PRA denies each and every allegation of	
3	the complaint.		
4		AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	
5	18.	Plaintiff fails to state factual matter sufficient to constitute a claim against	
6	PRA.		
7	19.	To the extent he had any telephone conversations with PRA, plaintiff was	
8	disingenuous about his true identity, used two different names, and obstructed PRA's		
9	good faith attempts to conduct its business in compliance with the law. Accordingly,		
10	plaintiff's claims should be barred by his own conduct and/or by the doctrine of unclear		
11	hands.		
12	20.	After PRA was informed that the telephone number it was calling was not	
13	the correct number, it made no further calls to that number.		
14	21.	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the statute of	
15	limitations.		
16	22.	PRA acted in good faith and in conformity with staff opinion letters of the	
17	Federal Trade Commission.		
18	23.	To the extent plaintiff is able to prove a violation of the FDCPA, any such	
19	violation resulted from a bona fide, unintentional error notwithstanding the maintenance		
20	of procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such error. Therefore, PRA should not		
21	be held liabl	e for any such violation.	
22	24.	Plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused and/or aggravated by his own	
23	failure to mit	tigate them.	
24			
25			
26			
	D 0	COORDAN VERSEEN VERSEE	

1	COUNTERCLAIM	
2	25. PRA is entitled to recover its attorney's fees and costs under 15 U.S.C.	
3	§1692k(a)(3), as plaintiff has brought this action in bad faith and for the purpose of	
4	harassment.	
5	RESERVATION OF RIGHT TO AMEND	
6	26. PRA reserves its right to amend and to add further defenses or claims, as	
7	relevant information becomes available.	
8	WHEREFORE, PRA prays for judgment in its favor and dismissal of plaintiff's	
9	claims with prejudice; for judgment in its favor on its counterclaim; for its attorney's fees,	
10	costs and disbursements; and for any further relief that the court decides is proper.	
11	DATED: August 5, 2011	
12	Cosgrave Vergeer Kester LLP	
13		
14	/s/ Robert E. Sabido	
15	Robert E. Sabido, WSBA No. 29170  rsabido@cvk-law.com	
16	805 SW Broadway, 8 <sup>th</sup> Floor Portland, OR 97205	
17	Telephone: (503) 323-9000 Fax: (503) 323-9019	
18	Attorneys for Defendant	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

4	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
1	I hereby certify that on July 6, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing		
2	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIM with		
3	the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send notification of such		
4	filing to the following:		
5	Sharon Cousineau		
6	Cousineau Law Group, LLC		
700 West Evergreen Boulevard 7 Vancouver, WA 98660	Vancouver, WA 98660		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	DATED: August 5, 2011		
10			
11	/s/ Robert E. Sabido Robert E. Sabido		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			